

Information You Should Know


June 2011 | Volume No. 5 | Investment Updates

The Importance of Rebalancing

Over time, your asset-allocation policy can veer off track because of market ups and downs. This is illustrated quite clearly in the image below; a strong stock performance can cause a simple 50/50 portfolio mix to become unbalanced over time. After 30 years, what was once a 50% allocation to stocks now sits at 63%—quite a jump. Moreover, not only does the portfolio's allocation change, but the portfolio's risk also changes, rising sharply from 9.2% to 10.9%. If your needs and/or risk tolerance have not changed, your allocation shouldn't either.

But why would anyone want to sell investments that have done great in order to purchase laggards? While rebalancing might seem odd at first, it is all about risk control. If more and more of your total portfolio winds up in one investment, you risk losing a lot should that investment stumble.

Change of Portfolio Allocation:
January 1981–December 2010



Keep in mind that an investment cannot be made directly in an index, and past performance is no guarantee of future results. This is for illustrative purposes only and not indicative of any investment. The sale of an investment for the purposes of rebalancing may be subject to taxes. Risk is measured by standard deviation. Standard deviation is a statistical measure of the extent to which returns vary from the expected returns. Government bonds are guaranteed by the full faith and credit of the United States government as to the timely payment of principal and interest. Stocks are not guaranteed and have been more volatile than bonds.

Source: Stocks—Standard & Poor's 500®, which is an unmanaged group of securities and is considered to be representative of the stock market in general; Bonds—five-year U.S. Government bond.



Eric Weiss
Certified Financial Planner
ericweiss@brightscape.com
305-233-6222

Advisor Corner

Independence, Objectivity and
Transparency

Dividends and Total Return

Income is important to consider when choosing an investment. Especially important for investors approaching retirement, income can add meaningfully to one's total return, which comprises income and price return (capital appreciation). Investors can pursue income returns in many ways including bonds, real estate investment trusts, and stocks.

Stock income is typically paid in the form of a monthly, quarterly, annual, or special cash dividend, which can be used to finance current consumption or to reinvest. Dividends are typically expressed in terms of yield. Like an interest rate, yield is represented as a percentage rate and is calculated by taking the annual cash dividend divided by a stock's current price. For example, a stock trading at \$20 with a future annual cash dividend of \$1 would have a dividend yield of 5%.

Keep in mind, though, that there is no guarantee a dividend will be paid, even if a certain company has a consistent dividend-paying track record. A company can increase, decrease, and even eliminate dividends altogether, depending on its financial situation. Furthermore, if a dividend is declared, the company has to pay dividends for preferred shares first, before any common share dividends can be paid.

Although stocks can be a source of income return, not all stocks are created equal in this regard. Some companies distribute significantly more of their profits in the form of dividends than others, and some don't distribute dividends at all. The following image demonstrates this point. Historically, dividend-earning stocks—represented by Morningstar's Dividend Composite Index—have had compound annual returns of 6.9%, while large stocks have had compound annual returns of 5.0%. Additionally, higher-yielding companies—represented by Morningstar's Dividend Leaders Index—have outperformed large stocks: Dividend Leaders Index components had a compound annual return of 9.0% compared with 5.0% for large stocks during the period studied. For investors looking

both for income and total returns, dividend-paying stocks can be a reasonable place to invest.

Although higher-yielding stocks have demonstrated an ability to outperform large stocks, all that glitters is not gold. Dividends are paid at a company's discretion, and exceptionally high yields can indicate a potential dividend cut. For example, had investors been lured to many high-yielding bank stocks in late 2008, they would have been sorely disappointed when many banks subsequently cut their dividends as profitability declined during the credit crisis. When looking at dividend-paying stocks, investors should focus on reasonable dividend yields with companies that have the earnings power to increase their dividend distributions over time. Many large companies with recognizable brand names have demonstrated an ability to offer this slow and steady income distribution to shareholders.

Dividend-Paying Stocks May Provide Better Returns



This is for illustrative purposes only and not indicative of any investment. Assumes reinvestment of all income and no transaction costs or taxes. An investment cannot be made directly in an index. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns and principal invested in stocks are not guaranteed. Dividends are not guaranteed and are paid solely at a company's discretion.

Source: Large Stocks—Standard & Poor's 500®, which is an unmanaged group of securities and considered to be representative of the stock market in general; Dividend-Paying Stocks—Morningstar Dividend Composite Index; High-Yield Dividend-Paying Stocks—Morningstar Dividend Leaders Index. Stocks in both indexes have a consistent record of dividend payment, have the ability to sustain their dividend payments and are weighted in proportion to the total pool of dividends available to investors. The Morningstar Dividend Composite Index captures the performance of all stocks in the U.S. Market Index. The Morningstar Dividend Leaders Index captures the performance of the 100 highest-yielding stocks.

Social Insecurity

All of us who work feel the bite that Social Security taxes take out of our paycheck. Most of us take comfort in the hope that when we retire, Social Security will be there, giving back all the money that we paid into the system over the course of our careers. Isn't that how it works?

Well, the short answer is no, it doesn't work that way. The Social Security taxes deducted from your paycheck are not sitting in a special account someplace, earmarked to be returned to you upon your retirement. Instead, the taxes you pay today are used to pay benefits to today's beneficiaries, just as when you retire, the benefits you receive will come from the taxes paid by people who are still working. This arrangement works as long as there are enough people sending in taxes; it doesn't work so well if the number of current workers per retiree is decreasing.

The baby boomer generation (those born between 1946 and 1964) have started to retire in 2010. This large group's retiring, coupled with increasing life expectancies and decreasing birth rates, means that the number of retirees will grow faster than the number of workers. As the graph illustrates, according to the Social Security Administration, the number of workers sending in Social Security taxes to pay each retiree's benefits has plummeted from 42 workers per beneficiary in 1945 to 2.9 in 2010. What is more is that this number is projected to go down even further to 2.1 workers per beneficiary by 2035. Since the ratio of workers to retirees is expected to continue declining, a shortfall in future Social Security funding is likely.


The trustees of the Social Security program estimate that benefits paid will exceed payroll taxes collected in 2010 and 2011, be less than payroll taxes collected in 2012 through 2014, then once again exceed tax income in 2015 and remain higher thereafter. Moreover, they are forecasting that the Social Security trust fund will be exhausted in 2037 unless changes are made.

What does all this mean for you? Well, that depends on how old you are and what changes the

United States government decides to implement. If you are nearing retirement, it is unlikely that your Social Security benefits will change dramatically. Younger workers, however, are more likely to see sweeping changes in the way Social Security works in the form of higher taxes, lower benefits, or a combination of the two.

Bear in mind that Social Security was never intended to provide Americans with all of the income they would need in retirement. Social Security is only one leg of a three-legged stool that also includes pension plans and personal savings. With concerns mounting over the stability of one leg of the stool, you need to take control of your retirement by investing in personal savings plans such as IRAs and 401(k)s.

Ratio of Workers to Beneficiary




Source: The 2010 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Federal Disability Insurance Trust Funds, August 2010, Social Security Administration.

Chasing Performance

Investors often endure poor timing and planning as many chase past performance. They buy into funds that are performing well and initiate a selling spree following a decline. This becomes evident when evaluating a fund’s total return compared with the investor return. Overall, the investor return translates to the average investor’s experience as measured by the timing decisions of all investors in the fund.

The image illustrates the investor return relative to the total return for a given fund. Over the short term, both the total and investor returns were positive, with the investor return ending slightly lower. Over a 10-year period, however, total return greatly exceeded investor return. Investors who attempted to time the market ran the risk of missing periods of exceptional returns.

Comparison of a Fund’s Return Performance Over Time



Past performance is no guarantee of future results. This is for illustrative purposes only and not indicative of any investment. Returns and principal invested in stocks are not guaranteed. Morningstar investor returns measure how the typical investor in that fund fared over time, incorporating the impact of cash inflows and outflows from purchases and sales. It is not one specific investor’s experience, but rather a measure of the return earned collectively by all the investors in the fund. Total return measures the percentage change in price for a fund, assuming the investor buys and holds the fund over the time period, reinvests distributions, and does not make any additional purchases or sales. Investor returns are not a substitute for total returns but can be used in combination with them. Data as of February 2010.

Source: The fund illustrated in this example was selected from Morningstar’s open-end database.

©2011 Morningstar, Inc. All Rights Reserved. The information contained herein (1) is intended solely for informational purposes; (2) is proprietary to Morningstar and/or the content providers; (3) is not warranted to be accurate, complete, or timely; and (4) does not constitute investment advice of any kind. Neither Morningstar nor the content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. "Morningstar" and the Morningstar logo are registered trademarks of Morningstar, Inc.



Eric Weiss
Certified Financial Planner

Brightscape
7241 SW 168th Street
Miami, Florida 33157

ericweiss@brightscape.com

Tel:305-233-6222